

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,022	03/25/2002	Toshihiro Morita	275729US6PCT	4603
22850 ODI ONI SDIV	7590 11/30/2007 AK, MCCLELLAND MA	IED & NEUSTADT DC	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE S	TREET	ick & Neostabi, i.e.	GARG, YOGESH C	
ALEXANDRIA	a, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/889,022	MORITA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Yogesh C. Garg	3625					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period fo								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 November 2007</u> .							
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	·						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· -	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		,					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1 4 :						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau		ad					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attack	***(c)							
Attachmer	ιτ(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	αιοπ προιοαιίση					

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment received on 11/7/2007 is acknowledged and entered.

Claims 1-8, 11-13, 15-19 and 22-28 are currently amended. Claims 1-28 are pending for examination.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments (see Remarks, filed on 11/7/2007) with respect to prior art rejection of claims 1-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated due to current amendments.
- 3. Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other relevant and related passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the other relevant and related passages and figures in the cited references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4.1. Claims 1, 8, 15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Epstein (US Patent 7,134,145 B1) in view of the Soundjam MP Plus Manual revised March 2000, "Sound Jam MP Plus Manual, ver. 2.0" - MP3 Player and Encoder for Macintosh by Jeffrey Robbin, Bill Kincaid and Dave Heller. ", hereinafter Soundjam (Note: it was received in an IDS filed on 2/15/2006 in the co-pending application 09/913586).

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

Regarding claim 1, Epstein discloses an information processing apparatus which checks out a content to an external device connected thereto or checks in a content from an external device connected thereto (See Fig.1), the apparatus comprising:

a title display means for displaying a title corresponding to the content (see at least Figs.1 and 2, col.3, lines 1-25 and col.4, lines 51-64. The catalog controller 110 of the Check-out / Check-in Device contains a list of each checked out and checked in content and tracks the number of copies checked-out to external devices or checked in from external devices. Though not illustrated it would be obvious that the Check-out / Check-in Device would include a display means [such as a monitor/screen] to see the list/title of contents the number of copies available.);

a content checkout setting means for setting a plurality of contents prior to checking out the plurality of contents (Check-out / Check-in Device in Fig.1)

a number of checkouts display means for displaying a number of possible checkouts for the content, wherein the number of possible checkouts represents a number that is incremented by one when the at least one content from the first plurality of selected contents is checked back into the apparatus (see at least Figs. 1,2 and col.3, lines 1-27. The Checkout and Check-in device contains the means for displaying a number of possible checkouts for the content. When a copy of content is returned, that is checked back into the Device, the count of possible available checkouts is accordingly changed that is the Device indicates that one additional copy is now available for checkout).

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has basis for shifting the burden of proof to applicant as in In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § § 2112- 2112.02.

Or

When the reference teaches all claim limitations except a means plus function limitation and the examiner is not certain whether the element disclosed in the reference is an equivalent to the claimed element and therefore anticipatory, or whether the prior art element is an obvious variant of the claimed element. See MPEP § § 2183-2184.

In the above case, Epstein discloses all the limitations of claim 1 except for not explicitly disclosing the step of displaying and that would be obvious, as analyzed above.

Epstein does not explicitly disclose simultaneously setting the plurality of selected contents to be checked out to the external device and checking out the first plurality of contents set by the content checkout setting means. However, Soundjam, in the same field of endeavor, teaches creating a plurality list of songs to be downloaded which corresponds to simultaneously setting a plurality of selected contents[songs] and checking out or downloading or exporting the selected plurality of contents to another device (see at least chapter 3, Building & Organizing Your Music Collection, Pages 28-30). In view of Soundjam, it would be obvious to one of an ordinary skilled in the art to create a playlist of songs which would correspond to simultaneously setting of the selected contents to be downloaded or checked out because it would be convenient for a user to download the complete playlist in one attempt.

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

Regarding claims 8, 15 and 22, their limitations are similar and are therefore analyzed and rejected based on same rationale as set forth for claim 1 above.

4.2. Claims 2-5, 7, 9-13, 16-19, 21, 23-26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein/Soundjam and further in view of Stefik.

Regarding claims 2 & 7, it is already analyzed above in claim 1 that Epstein in view of Soundjam teaches a display means with the intended use of displaying title of digital content and also number of possible checkouts for the at least one content from the first plurality of selected contents. Epstein's invention and disclosure are directed to control and monitor the predefined usage rights [corresponding to possible checkouts] of digital content [such as downloaded music or other digital data]. Epstein does not teach using predetermined symbol[s] to display the number of available usage rights, such as remaining samples to be used or possible downloads/loans for the at least one content from the first plurality of selected contents to other devices. However, Stefik, in the same field of endeavor, that is monitoring and controlling of usage rights of downloaded content, teaches using symbols/codes to denote the manner of use/usage rights, such as remaining samples to be used or possible downloads/loans to other devices (see at least Abstract, col.3, line 60-col.4, line 7, col.17, line 12-col.21, line 14, claims 1, 19 and 38. See, for example, col. 18, lines 30-41, "Grammar element 1505 "Transport-Code:=[Copy.vertline.Transfer.vertline.Loan [Remaining-Rights: Next-Set-of-Rights]] [(Next-

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

Copy-Rights: Next-Set of Rights)]" . Here, the Transport symbol/code is indicative of useable rights allowing the making of persistent, usable copies of the digital work on other repositories, determining the rights on the work after it is transported. If this is not specified, then the rights on the transported copy are the same as on the original. The optional Remaining-Rights specify the rights that remain with a digital work when it is loaned out. If this is not specified, then the default is that no rights can be exercised when it is loaned out. Therefore, in view of Stefik in the same field of endeavor, that is monitoring and controlling of usage rights/possible checkouts of downloaded content, it would be obvious to one of an ordinary skilled in the art to modify Epstein in view of Soundjam to use predetermined symbols in Epstein to denote the manner in which the useable rights/possible checkouts can be used because using the grammar of symbols for denoting usage rights/possible checkouts makes it convenient to define various forms of usage rights (see Stefik, col.17, lines 12-22).

Regarding claim 3, Epstein in view of Soundjam teaches the apparatus according to claim 2. Epstein teaches that the apparatus of claim 2 further comprising:

a display controlling means for changing, when the content checkout setting means has set the at least one content from the first plurality of selected contents which is to be checked out, the existing number of possible checkouts to a one for the at least one content from the first plurality of selected contents set by the content checkout setting means and displaying the new number (see at least Figs.1 and 2, col.3, lines 1-25 and col.4, lines 51-64. The catalog controller 110 of the Check-out / Check-in Device

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

contains a list of each checked out and checked in content and tracks the number of copies checked-out to external devices or checked in from external devices. The Checkout and Check-in Device contains the means for displaying a number of possible checkouts for the content. When a copy of content is returned, that is checked back into the Device, the count of possible available checkouts is accordingly changed that is the Device indicates that one additional copy is now available for checkout.)

Regarding claim 4, Epstein in view of Soundjam teaches the apparatus according to claim 2 as analyzed above and further comprising a content check-in setting means for simultaneously setting a second plurality of selected contents to be exported that is checking in from the external device prior to exporting [checking in the second plurality of contents], a check-in means for simultaneously importing [checking in the second plurality of contents set by the content check-in setting means] to the check-in means operated concurrently with the checkout means, and a display controlling means for changing, when the check-in means has checked in at least one content from the second plurality of selected contents, the existing number of possible checkouts corresponding to the at least one content from the second plurality of contents checked in by the check-in means and displaying the new number of possible checkouts (see at least Epstein Figs.1 and 2, col.3, lines 1-25 and col.4, lines 51-64. The catalog controller 110 of the Check-out / Check-in Device contains a list of each checked out and checked in content and tracks the number of copies checked-out to external devices or checked in from external devices. The Checkout and Check-in

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

Device contains the means for displaying a number of possible checkouts for the content. When a copy of content is returned, that is checked back into the Device, the count of possible available checkouts is accordingly changed that is the Device indicates that one additional copy is now available for checkout. It is already analyzed in claims 1-2 above that Epstein in view of Soundjam teaches the art of simultaneously setting a plurality of selected contents to be exported from one device to another device. Hence it would be obvious to one of an ordinary skilled in the art for the same reasons, as analyzed in claims 1-2 above, to simultaneously select a plurality of items to be checked out from an exporting device to a checking in device and then export at least one or more contents simultaneously to the check-in device.).

Regarding claim 5, Epstein in view of Soundjam teaches that the apparatus according to claim 4, wherein the display controlling means changes the existing number of possible checkouts to a one for the at least one content from the second plurality of contents checked in by the check-in means (see at least Epstein Figs.1 and 2, col.3, lines 1-25 and col.4, lines 51-64. When a copy of content is returned, that is checked back into the Device, the count of possible available checkouts is accordingly changed that is the Device indicates that one additional copy is now available for checkout.)..

Regarding claims 9-13, 16-19 and 21, 22-26 and 28, their limitations are similar

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

to the limitations of claims 2-5 and 7 and are therefore analyzed and rejected based on the same rationales as set forth for claims 2-5 and 7 above.

4.3. Claims 6, 14, 20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epstein/Soundjam and further in view of Acres (US Patent 6,319,125 B1)

Regarding claim 6, 14, 20, and 27, Epstein in view of Soundjam teaches an information processor, a method for processing information and a computer program for processing checkouts and check ins of digital content to or from external device controlled by predetermined usage rights, as analyzed above for claims 1, 8, 15 and 22. Epstein does not disclose that in the number of checkouts displaying step, a number of possible checkouts is displayed by a predetermined kind of musical-note. The examiner would like to make a note that using a sound alert with a particular musical note is a very well known phenomenon to alert an user about a particular computer event, such as imputing wrong entry, end of a session or alerting about an incoming e-mail, etc. It has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the prior art of Acres is reasonably pertinent to the particular problem of using a sound alert to indicate to the user that the displayed decremented bonus has been decremented to less than one credit and that he is required to earn

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

more welcome back bonus points (see Acres, col.11, lines 44-57) which is similar to the problem with which the applicant was faced in using a predetermined kind of musical note denoting the number of remaining checkouts left, such as Zero or one checkout. In view of Acres, it would be obvious to one of an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to have modified Epstein in view of Soundjam as applied to claim 1 to include the feature of using a predetermined sound alert, that is a musical note to denote the end of possible samples/downloads or one possible sample/download because it would make it convenient for the user to prepare him for future action.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US PG-PUB 20020082901A1 to Dunning et al. (see at least paragraph 0280) discloses creating/setting simultaneously a plurality of music tracks in one device and then exporting one or more of the selected tracks simultaneously to another device.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh C. Garg whose telephone number is 571-272-6756. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

09/889,022 Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yogesh C Garg Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625

YCG 11/21/2007